	Case 2:20-cv-01445-KJM-JDP Documer	nt 36	Filed 09/26/22	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	MICHAEL SHEPARD,	C	ase No. 2:20-cv-0	1445-KJM-JDP (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,			CAUSE WHY THIS	
13	v.	CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND FAILURE			
14	ALIASGHAR MOHYUDDIN, et al.,		TO COMPLY WITH LOCAL RULES RESPONSE DUE WITHIN TWENTY-ONE DAYS		
15	Defendants.				
16					
17	On August 19, 2022, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. ECF No. 34. To date, plaintiff has not filed a response to defendants' motion. In cases where a party is incarcerated and proceeding without counsel, a responding party is required to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition not more twenty-one days after the date the motion is served. E.D. Cal. L.R. 230(1). Failure "to file an opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24	To manage its docket effectively, the court requires litigants to meet certain deadlines.				
25	The court may impose sanctions, including dismissal of a case, for failure to comply with court				
26	orders or local rules. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E.D. Cal. L.R. 110; Hells Canyon Pres. Council				
27	v. U.S. Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th C	rest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41			
28	1				
	,i	1			

Case 2:20-cv-01445-KJM-JDP Document 36 Filed 09/26/22 Page 2 of 2

(9th Cir. 1988). Involuntary dismissal is a harsh penalty, but a district court has a duty to administer justice expeditiously and avoid needless burden for the parties. *See Pagtalunan v. Galaza*, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.

Plaintiff will be given a chance to explain why the court should not dismiss the case for his failure to timely file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendants' motion. Plaintiff's failure to respond to this order will constitute a failure to comply with a court order and will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Accordingly, plaintiff is ordered to show cause within twenty-one days why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and for failure to comply with the court's local rules. Should plaintiff wish to continue with this lawsuit, he shall, within twenty-one days, file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendants' motion.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 23, 2022

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE